arXiv:1604.05869v1 [math-ph] 20 Apr 2016

A NON-RELATIVISTIC MODEL OF PLASMA PHYSICS CONTAINING A RADIATION REACTION TERM

SEBASTIAN BAUER

Abstract. While a fully relativistic collisionless plasma is modeled by the Vlasov-Maxwell system a good approximation in the non-relativistic limit is given by the Vlasov-Poisson system. We modify the Vlasov-Poisson system so that damping due to the relativistic effect of radiation reaction is included. We prove the existence and uniqueness as well as the higher regularity of local classical solutions. These theorems also include the higher regularity of classical solutions of the Vlasov-Poisson system depending on the regularity of the initial datum.

1. Introduction

In studying interactions of charged matter and electromagnetic fields it is a quite common situation that the velocity of matter is small if compared to the velocity of light. In such situations an asymptotic expansion of the equations in the small parameter $\frac{|v|}{c}$ can help to reduce the complexity of the original problem, e.g. for numerical computations. Since in many relativistic settings the zeroth order contribution of this expansion gives the Newtonian limit such expansions are usually called post-Newtonian expansions.

In numerous applications of asymptotic analysis it is quite straightforward to establish the equations of the expansion and it is the main task to prove a relation between the solutions of the asymptotic expansion and the solution of the original equation. In contrast to that in interactions of charged matter and electrodynamic fields also the formulation of the approximation scheme becomes delicate if effects due to radiation damping shall be included. It is well-known that accelerated charged matter loses energy by radiation; an electromagnetic radiation field is generated which transports energy to null-infinity. This gives a damping effect on the motion of the matter known as radiation damping. There is a large amount of literature for single particle models concerning effective equations which incorporate radiation damping without giving a fully relativistic description, see [19] and the literature cited therein.

On the other hand the Vlasov-Maxwell system is a well-known fully relativistic description of a large ensemble of collisionless particles which interacts by means of the electromagnetic fields collectively generated by these particles. In a non-relativistic setting this situation is governed by the Vlasov-Poisson system. While the asymptotic limit relation between the Vlasov-Poisson and the Vlasov-Maxwell system is well understood, see [18, 14], and also higher order relations between the so called Vlasov-Darwin and the Vlasov-Maxwell model are established, see [3], all these approximation models do not inhibit radiation damping.

It is the aim of this paper to establish and study a model in between the fully relativistic description and the non-relativistic description where effects due to radiation damping are included. In Section 2 we repeat some heuristics from [11, 12] leading to two candidates of such systems, see equations (6) and (7). While the first of these systems is already studied in [11, 12] we give an additional heuristic leading to (7). In the third section we shall reformulate (7) establishing the topic of this paper, the 'reduced Vlasov-Poisson system with radiation damping', see (rVPRD$_\varepsilon$).

In this situation the main criterion to decide wether or not a model is suitable, is the approximation property. In a second paper [2] it is proved that solutions of (rVPRD$_\varepsilon$) together with some lower order terms approximate solutions of the Vlasov-Maxwell system to a higher order in v/c than solutions of the Vlasov-Poisson or the Vlasov-Darwin model, see also [4] for an overview.

Date: March 2016.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35B30 / 35L60 / 78A40 / 82C21 / 82C22 / 82D10.

Key words and phrases. Vlasov-Poisson system, plasma, radiation damping, local existence.

In Section 4 we present a proof of local existence, uniqueness and smoothness of solutions of (rVPRD$_\varepsilon$). This proof is an adaption of the usual proof of local existence of classical solutions, essentially going back to [1]. In this contribution we follow the presentation in [17]. With regard to the issue of higher regularity we have to use an additional induction loop.

Motivated by a preprint version of this paper the existence of global solutions for small initial data has already been proved in [6].

2. Heuristic derivations of models including radiation damping

2.1. **The Vlasov-Poisson system with radiation damping.** How could such a model look like? In [5, Thm. 1.4] it is shown that for suitable solutions of the Vlasov-Maxwell system which are isolated from incoming radiation in the non-relativistic limit $c \to \infty$, c the velocity of light, the total rate of radiated energy is given by

$$\frac{2}{3c^3}|\ddot{\mathcal{D}}(t)|^2$$

where $\mathcal{D}$ is the dipole moment of the non-relativistic charge distribution, i.e. of the dipole moment of the solution of the Vlasov-Poisson system. This result yields a mathematical formulation and rigorous proof of Larmor's formula, see [9, (14.22)], in the case of Vlasov matter.

Therefore the goal is to implement an additional term into the Vlasov-Poisson system causing this loss of energy. As already suggested in [11, 12] we modify the Vlasov equation by incorporating a small additional force term

$$\partial_t f^\pm + p \cdot \nabla_x f^\pm \pm \left(E + \frac{2}{3c^3}\dddot{\mathcal{D}} \right) \cdot \nabla_p f^\pm = 0\,. \tag{1}$$

Here f^+ and f^- give the distribution density of two species of charged particles where the mass of the particles is set to unity and the charge of the particles is $+1$ and -1, respectively. These densities are functions of time $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and phase space variables $(x,p) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$. The resulting charge density is given by ϱ,

$$\varrho^\pm(t,x) = \int f^\pm(t,x,p)\,dp \quad \text{and} \quad \varrho = \varrho^+ - \varrho^-\,, \tag{2}$$

E is the electrostatic field generated by this charge density,

$$E(t,x) = -\nabla \int \frac{\varrho(t,y)}{|x-y|}\,dy\,,$$

and finally $\mathcal{D}$ is the dipole moment of the charge distribution,

$$\mathcal{D}(t) = \int x\varrho(t,x)\,dx\,. \tag{3}$$

We will refer to this set of equations (1)–(3) as the *Vlasov-Poisson system with radiation damping*. The additional term in (1) is a generalization of the radiation reaction force term used in particle models; see [9, (16.8)]. We also note that for this system the quantity

$$\mathcal{E}_S = \frac{1}{2}\iint p^2(f^+ + f^-)(t,x,p)\,dp\,dx + \frac{1}{8\pi}\int |E(t,x)|^2\,dx - \frac{2}{3}c^{-3}\dot{\mathcal{D}}\cdot\ddot{\mathcal{D}}$$

is decreasing, more precisely one obtains

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}_S(t) = -\frac{2}{3c^3}|\ddot{\mathcal{D}}(t)|^2,$$

the subscript S referring to the name "Schott"-energy under which this energy can be found in the literature. This decreasing of energy is usually attributed to the effect of radiation damping.

2.2. **Problems with the Cauchy problem.** In order to formulate the Cauchy problem of this system we of course have to give initial values for the particle densities $f^{\pm}$, but we also have to fix data for the dipole moment. Let us for a moment assume that we are equipped with a smooth solution of (1)–(3) such that the spatial support of $f^{\pm}$ is compact on every time slice with constant time. We define the bare mass by

$$M(t) = \iint \left(f^{+} + f^{-}\right)(t,x,p)\, dp\, dx\,. \tag{4}$$

Then (1) yields mass conservation $\partial_t M = 0$ as well as charge conservation $\partial_t \varrho^{\pm} + \nabla \cdot j^{\pm} = 0$ for both species, where

$$j^{\pm} = \int p f^{\pm}\, dp \tag{5}$$

are the current densities. Using equation (1) we compute the first three time derivatives of $\mathcal{D}$ and find $\dot{\mathcal{D}}(t) = \int j^{+} - j^{-}\, dx$ as well as

$$\ddot{\mathcal{D}}(t) = D^{[2]}(t) + \frac{2}{3c^3} M\, \dddot{\mathcal{D}}(t)$$

where $D^{[2]}$ is defined by

$$D^{[2]}(t) \quad = \quad \int E(t,x)\, \left(\varrho^{+} + \varrho^{-}\right)(t,x)\, dx\,.$$

Introducing the new variable

$$y(t) := \ddot{\mathcal{D}}(t) \quad \text{and abbreviating} \quad \varepsilon := \frac{2}{3c^3} M$$

we can recast (1) into

$$\left.\begin{aligned} \partial_t f^{\pm} &= -p \cdot \nabla_x f^{\pm} \mp \left(E + y - D^{[2]}\right) \cdot \nabla_p f^{\pm} \\ \varepsilon \dot{y} &= y - D^{[2]} \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{SGPP$_\varepsilon$}$$

where we have to supply initial data $f^{\circ,\pm}$ and $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$. While the physical setting only delivers initial data for the particle distribution the question arises how to specify y_0 in order to get a solution which is physically reasonable. We shall discuss now several approaches to deal with this problem.

2.3. **Methods of reduction.** The Vlasov-Poisson system with radiation damping serves as an approximation of a relativistic system valid up to order c^{-3} or ε. On account of that it seems to be acceptable to modify this system by terms which are formally of higher order in ε. In [11] there are several ways of reduction discussed, each of it consisting of two basic steps in certain combinations: on the one hand a time derivative will be replaced modulo higher order terms via the Vlasov equation, e.g. $\ddot{\mathcal{D}} = D^{[2]} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ and on the other hand a time derivative will be cancelled by a substitution in the characteristic equation $\mathcal{X}^{\pm} = \mathcal{P}^{\pm}$, $\mathcal{P}^{\pm} = \pm(E + \varepsilon \ddot{\mathcal{D}})$. The so-called 2+1 reduction $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}^{\pm} = \mathcal{X}^{\pm}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{\pm} = \mathcal{P}^{\pm} \mp \varepsilon \ddot{\mathcal{D}}$ and $\ddot{\mathcal{D}} \approx D^{[2]}$ leads to the Vlasov equation

$$\partial_t f^{\pm} + (p \pm \varepsilon D^{[2]}) \cdot f^{\pm} \nabla_x \pm E \cdot \nabla_p f^{\pm} = 0 \tag{6}$$

which is thoroughly studied in [12]. Using a 3+0 reduction scheme, replacing $\dddot{\mathcal{D}}$ by $\dot{D}^{[2]}$, we find the Vlasov-equation

$$\partial_t f^{\pm} + p \cdot \nabla_x f^{\pm} \pm \left(E + \varepsilon \dot{D}^{[2]}\right) \cdot \nabla_p f^{\pm} = 0\,. \tag{7}$$

2.4. **A reduction via geometric perturbation arguments.** Here we want to give an alternative formal derivation of equation (7) using an analogy to single particle models. First note that in case of a single particle the problem of the physical reasonable initial value is discussed since the beginning of the last century, see e.g. [9, chapter 16]. In [13] it has been observed that in particle models this problem has the structure of a geometric singular perturbation problem, and the "physical" dynamics are obtained on a center-like manifold of the full dynamics. For sake of discussion let us assume for a moment that the first equation in ($\mathrm{SGPP}_\varepsilon$) is an ODE instead of a PDE and to simplify notations in this subsection f denotes the couple (f^+, f^-). The same convention will be used for $f^\circ = (f^{\circ,+}, f^{\circ,-})$. Then theorems from singular geometric perturbation theory, see [10], support us with invariant manifolds. More precisely, the manifold $\mathcal{M}_0 = \{y = h_0(f) = D^{[2]}\}$ being invariant under the dynamics of ($\mathrm{SGPP}_{\varepsilon=0}$) persists for small $\varepsilon > 0$: For sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a manifold $\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon = \{y = h_\varepsilon(f)\}$ being invariant under the dynamics of ($\mathrm{SGPP}_\varepsilon$) which remains close to $\mathcal{M}_0$ in a suitable sense and carries those trajectories which are bounded in y.

Unfortunately, this theory does not apply in our case because we are dealing with a phase-space of infinite dimension; thus the proof of the existence of an invariant manifold is hard. For sake of discussion we shall take the existence of a smooth invariant manifold for granted and assume that it is given by means of a smooth function $h_\varepsilon = h_\varepsilon(f^\circ)$, acting on $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3) \times C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ and taking values in $\mathbb{R}^3$. The manifold $\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon = \{(f^\circ, h_\varepsilon(f^\circ)\}$ is invariant under the flow of ($\mathrm{SGPP}_\varepsilon$) if the solution of ($\mathrm{SGPP}_\varepsilon$) subject to the initial conditions $(f^\circ, y(0)) = (f^\circ, h_\varepsilon(f^\circ))$ satisfies

$$y(t) = h_\varepsilon(f(t, \cdot, \cdot)) \tag{8}$$

for all times the solution exits. In order to find an appropriate approximation of ($\mathrm{SGPP}_\varepsilon$) let us assume that we are furnished with smooth maps h_ε defining the manifolds $\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon$ and that we are able to expand in ε,

$$h_\varepsilon = h_0 + \varepsilon h_1 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2)\,. \tag{9}$$

We plug (8) and (9) into the second line of ($\mathrm{SGPP}_\varepsilon$). Assuming that we are allowed to exchange the order of differentiation with respect to t and expansion in ε we compute

$$\varepsilon \dot{h}_0(f) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) = h_0(f) - D^{[2]} + \varepsilon h_1(f) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2)\,.$$

Equating powers of ε we obtain

$$h_0(f) = D^{[2]} = \int E(\varrho^+ + \varrho^-)\,dx \quad \text{and} \quad h_1(f) = \dot{D}^{[2]} = \frac{d}{dt}\int E(\varrho^+ + \varrho^-)\,dx\,.$$

Note that $D^{[2]}$ is a function of E and $\varrho^\pm$. But because E itself is defined by means of the Poisson integral with source ϱ it is a function of $f^\pm$, too. Therefore $D^{[2]} = D^{[2]}(f)$. Neglecting higher order terms we also end with the Vlasov-equation (7), which shall be discussed in the following.[i]

3. The reduced Vlasov-Poisson system with radiation damping, main results

3.1. **Reformulation using principal values.** First we have to remove an obstacle. On the one hand we need $\partial_t f(t)$ and $f(t)$ to define h_1; therefore h_1 is not defined on $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3) \times C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3)$. On the other hand in [11, p. 3579] it is argued that (7) is a borderline case for the usual proof of local existence of classical solutions: In order to obtain a local existence theorem the orders of differentiability of the different unknowns should fit together properly. If it is supposed that the potential u of E is k-times differentiable, then f and ϱ are $k-2$ times differentiable and thus by solving the Poisson equation $\Delta U = 4\pi\varrho$ two orders of differentiability should be gained which is not the case in spaces of pointwise differentiable functions.

We shall get rid of both of these problems by using the Vlasov-equation (7) and integration by parts one more time, but know we are forced to use principal values. To this end we additionally introduce the

[i] On a very formal level $h_\varepsilon(f)$ is given by $\sum_{j=0}^\infty \varepsilon^j D^{[2],(j)}$, where, using the Vlasov equation, all derivative w.r.t to time can be replaced by derivatives w.r.t to phase-space.

notation $E^\pm = -\nabla \int \varrho^\pm(y)\,\frac{dy}{|x-y|}$; then some elementary calculations, using the identity $\nabla_x|y-x|^{-1} = -\nabla_y|y-x|^{-1}$, lead to

$$\begin{aligned} h_1(f) &= -\frac{d}{dt}\iint \nabla_x\left(|x-y|^{-1}\right)(\varrho^+(y)-\varrho^-(y))(\varrho^+(x)+\varrho^+(x))\,dy\,dx \\ &= 2\int E^+\,\partial_t\varrho^- - E^-\partial_t\varrho^+\,dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using charge conservation $\partial_t\varrho^\pm + \nabla\cdot j^\pm = 0$ we e.g. compute

$$\begin{aligned} \int E^+\,\partial_t\varrho^-\,dx &= -\int E^+\nabla\cdot j^-\,dx = -\iint \frac{x-y}{|x-y|^3}\varrho^+(y)\nabla_x\cdot j^-(x)\,dy\,dx \\ &= -\int \varrho^+(y)\int \frac{z}{|z|^3}\nabla_z\cdot j^-(y+z)\,dz\,dy \\ &= -\int \varrho^+(y)\left(\lim_{\eta\to 0}\int_{|z|>\eta}\frac{z}{|z|^3}\nabla_z\cdot j^-(y+z)\,dz\right)dy \\ &= -\int \varrho^+(y)\lim_{\eta\to 0}\left(-\int_{|z|>\eta}|z|^{-3}\left(-3z\otimes z|z|^{-2}+\mathrm{id}\right)j^-(y+z)\,dz\right. \\ &\qquad \left. -\int_{|z|=\eta} z\oplus z|z|^{-4}j^-(y+z)\,dS(z)\right)dy \\ &= \int \varrho^+ H(j^-)\,dy\,. \end{aligned}$$

Here id denotes the identity mapping in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and for a suitable function j the operator H is defined by

$$H(j)(y) := \fint \frac{1}{|z|^3}\Big(-3\frac{z\otimes z}{|z|^2}+\mathrm{id}\Big)j(y+z)\,dz + \frac{4\pi}{3}j(y)\,. \tag{10}$$

Note that the kernel $K(z) = -3\frac{z\otimes z}{|z|^2} + \mathrm{id}$ is bounded on $\mathbb{R}^3\setminus\{0\}$, is homogeneous of degree zero and satisfies $\int_{|z|=1} K(z)\,d\sigma(z) = 0$. Thus, using the Calderón-Zygmund inequality, H is well defined for smooth functions with compact support and for $1 < p < \infty$ can be extended to a bounded linear operator mapping $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$, see [20]. We call the following set of equations the "reduced Vlasov-Poisson system with radiation damping"

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_t f^\pm + p\cdot\nabla_x f^\pm \pm (E+\varepsilon D^{[3]})\cdot\nabla_p f^\pm = 0\,, \\ &E(t,x) = -\nabla_x\int \frac{\varrho(t,y)}{|x-y|}\,dy\,, \\ &D^{[3]}(t) = 2\int\Big(H(j^-)\,\varrho^+ - H(j^+)\,\varrho^-\Big)(t,x)\,dx\,, \end{aligned} \tag{rVPRD$_\varepsilon$}$$

where $\varrho^\pm$, $j^\pm$ and $H(j^\pm)$ are defined according to (2), (5) and (10). To be precise we shall define what we mean by a classical solution. We adopt the formulation of the usual Vlasov-Poisson system given in [17].

Definition 1. *The pair of functions $f^\pm : J\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3 \to [\,0\,,\infty\,)$ is a classical solution of the reduced Vlasov-Poisson system with radiation damping on the interval $J\subset\mathbb{R}$ if the following holds:*

(i) *The functions $f^\pm$ are continuously differentiable with respect to all its variables.*
(ii) *The induced spatial densities $\varrho^\pm$ and $j^\pm$, the induced electric field E and the induced damping term $D^{[3]}$ exist on $J\times\mathbb{R}^3$ and J, respectively, and are continuously differentiable.*
(iii) *For every compact subinterval $I\subset J$ the electric field E is bounded on $I\times\mathbb{R}^3$.*
(iv) *The functions $f^\pm$, E and $D^{[3]}$ satisfy the equations in (*rVPRD$_\varepsilon$*).*

3.2. **Local solutions, uniqueness and higer regularity.** It is the main result of this paper to establish local existence and uniqueness of classical solutions of this system. Furthermore we want to establish higher regularity of solutions of (rVPRD$_\varepsilon$) depending on the smoothness of the initial data. To the best knowledge also in the case $\varepsilon = 0$, which is he usual Vlasov-Poisson system, this question is only investigated in [15] and not published elsewhere. Surprisingly in that paper only smoothness in spatial directions is proved whereas the methods also allow for proving smoothness for all space-time directions. At last we want to establish certain bounds holding uniformly in $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$. These bounds are needed in [2] in order to prove the asymptotic approximation of solutions of the Vlasov-Maxwell systems by densities which are built from solution of (rVPRD$_\varepsilon$).[ii] We shall take our initial data from the following class of smooth functions with compact support. Fix an integer k and constants R_0 and S_0. With regard to the initial data we require that

$$\begin{aligned} f^{\circ,\pm} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3)\,, \qquad \|f^{\circ,\pm}\|_{W^{\infty,k}(\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq S_0\,, \\ f^{\circ,\pm}(x,p) = 0 \qquad \text{if} \quad |x| \geq R_0 \quad \text{or} \quad |p| \geq R_0\,. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Here $\|\cdot\|_{W^{\infty,k}(\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3)}$ denotes the usual Sobolev-norm. For further notations also in the following theorem, see the beginning of Section 4. Now we can state the main result of this paper.

Theorem 2. *Fix a positive integer k, constants R_0, S_0 and initial data $f^{\circ,\pm}$ according to (11). Then for every $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$ the problem (*rVPRD$_\varepsilon$*) has a unique classical solution*

$$\left(f^\pm, E, D^{[3]}\right) \in C^k([0,T_\varepsilon)\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3) \times C^k([0,T_\varepsilon)\times\mathbb{R}^3) \times C^k([0,T_\varepsilon))$$

with $0 < T_\varepsilon \leq \infty$. Furthermore, there is a constant $\hat{T} \leq \infty$ and for every constant $0 < T < \hat{T}$ there is a constant $M(T)$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $p \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $0 \leq t \leq T$

(a) *$\hat{T} \leq T_\varepsilon$ for all $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$,*
(b) *$f^\pm(t,x,p) = 0$ if $|x| \geq M(T)$ or $|p| \geq M(T)$*
(c) *$\left\|\partial_{t,x,p}^l f^\pm(t)\right\|_\infty + \left\|\partial_{t,x}^l E(t)\right\|_\infty + |\frac{d^l}{dt^l} D^{[3]}(t)| \leq M(T)$ for all non-negative integers $l \leq k$.*

In addition

(d) *$\dot{D}^{[2]}(t) = D^{[3]}(t)$ for all $0 \leq t \leq T_\varepsilon$.*

The constants $\hat{T}$ and $M(T)$ are only depending on k, R_0 and S_0. In particular they are independent of ε.

The proof is elaborated in Section 4.

3.3. **Global solutions.** Next we turn to the question of existence of global in time classical solutions. Motivated by a preprint version of this paper global solvability for small initial data has already been proved in [6].

One crucial ingredient of every proof of existence of global solution with unrestricted initial data is an a-priori bound on the second velocity momenta $\iint p^2 f^\pm \, dp\, dx$.[iii] This bound is usually obtained by some energy conservation equation. We introduce the notation

$$D^{[1]} := \int j^+ - j^- \, dx = \dot{\mathcal{D}}$$

and compute $\dot{D}^{[1]} = D^{[2]} + \varepsilon M D^{[3]}$ for a solution of (rVPRD$_\varepsilon$), where M is the time-independent bare mass, see (4). We recall $\dot{D}^{[2]} = D^{[3]}$ and define the energy of the system (rVPRD$_\varepsilon$) by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_S = \frac{1}{2}\iint p^2(f^+ + f^-)\, dp\, dx + \frac{1}{8\pi}\int |E|^2\, dx - \varepsilon D^{[1]}\cdot D^{[2]} + \frac{\varepsilon^2 M}{2}|D^{[2]}|^2\,. \tag{12}$$

This energy is decreasing, more precisely we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_S \quad = \quad -\varepsilon |D^{[2]}(t)|^2\,.$$

[ii] For the approximation we also need some correction terms of 'Darwin-order'

[iii] Very recently in [7] a proof of the existence of global classical solutions of the Vlasov-Poisson system has been published, which does not rely on an a-priori bound of the second velocity momentum. It remains to investigate wether this proof can be applied to the system at hand.

Obviously, this energy is indefinite. This situation is well known from the Vlasov-Poisson equation in the gravitational case, where the potential energy is negative. Here the question is, wether or not it is possible to estimate $|D^{[1]} \cdot D^{[2]}|$ against one of the immanently positive terms in a suitable way. Of course we can estimate $2|D^{[1]} \cdot D^{[2]}| \leq \frac{|D^{[1]}|^2}{\eta} + \eta |D^{[2]}|^2$ for all $\eta > 0$; therefore, it would be sufficient to bound $|D^{[1]}|^2$. Unfortunately, using the usual bounds for velocity momenta, see e.g. [17, Lemma 1.8], we only get the estimate

$$\left\| j^{\pm} \right\|_1 \leq C \left\| f^{\pm} \right\|_1^{1/2} \left(\iint p^2 f^{\pm} \, dp \, dx \right)^{1/2} , \tag{13}$$

which is just not sufficient for our purposes.

We also can't make use of the smallness of ε; in order to swallow the $|D^{[2]}|^2$ term we have to choose $\eta = \frac{\varepsilon M}{2}$ and end with the factor $\frac{1}{M}$ in front of the $|D^{[1]}|^2$ term which is just cancelled by the square of $\|f^{\pm}\|_1^{1/2}$ in (13). It is because of this, that the existence of global classical solutions seems to be out of reach at the moment. If we take the boundedness of the second velocity momenta for granted there are no obvious obstacles to prove the existence of global solutions following the lines of the proof of Lions and Perthame in [16].[iv]

4. Proof of Theorem 2

Theorem 2 will be proved in two steps. After collecting some well known estimates in Subsection 4.1 we define a modification of the usual iteration scheme in Subsection 4.2 and establish certain bounds on the iterates. In Theorem 12 in subsection 4.3, we prove that the iterates converge to a solution of (rVPRD$_\varepsilon$) and that this solution is unique. Finally, in Subsection 4.4 we shall prove higher regularity of solutions, see Theorem 14. Together Theorem 12 and Theorem 14 give Theorem 2.

Throughout this section we fix a positive integer k and some constants R_0 and S_0. Generic constants which may be computed from k, S_0 and R_0 are denoted by C, C_1, C_2 and so on. Constants C may change from line to line. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ we denote the spatial-space and the phase-space L^p norms by $\|\cdot\|_p$. If we consider a pair of functions then $\|\cdot\|_p$ gives the sum of the norms of the pair, e.g. $\|f^{\pm}\|_p = \|f^+\|_p + \|f^-\|_p$. For $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we denote by $f(t)$ the function

$$f(t) : \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \ni (x,p) \mapsto f(t,x,p)$$

and in the same sense we use $\varrho(t)$, $j(t)$ and $E(t)$. By $C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $C_c^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we denote the space of k times continuously differentiable functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$, the subscript c indicates compactly supported functions. For differentiable functions f, we denote (the vector of) partial derivatives with respect to t, x, p or combinations of it by $\partial_t f$, $\partial_x f$, $\partial_p f$, $\partial_{x,p} f$ and so on. Vectors of partial derivatives of order l will be denoted by $\partial_{x,p}^l f$, $\partial_{t,x,p}^l f$ and so on. The subscripts indicate which partial derivatives are included.

4.1. Preparations.

For $\varrho \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^3)$ we define

$$E_\varrho(x) = -\nabla_x \int \frac{\varrho(y)}{|x-y|} \, dy = \int \frac{x-y}{|x-y|^3} \varrho(y) \, dy \, .$$

Furthermore we set $\ln^*(a) := 1 + \ln(a)$ if $1 \leq a$ and $\ln^*(a) = a$ if $0 \leq a < 1$. For the convenience of the reader we cite the following propositions from [1, Prop. 1, Prop. 2].

Proposition 3. *Let $\varrho \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then $E_\varrho \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and for all $0 < d \leq R$*

$$\|E_\varrho\|_\infty \leq 3(2\pi)^{2/3} \|\varrho\|_1^{1/3} \|\varrho\|_\infty^{2/3} , \tag{i}$$

$$\|\partial_x E_\varrho\|_\infty \leq C \left[R^{-3} \|\varrho\|_1 + d \|\partial_x \varrho\|_\infty + (1 + \ln(R/d)) \|\varrho\|_\infty \right] , \tag{ii}$$

$$\|\partial_x E_\varrho\|_\infty \leq C \left[\left(1 + \|\varrho\|_\infty\right) \left(1 + \ln^* \|\partial_x \varrho\|_\infty\right) + \|\varrho\|_1 \right] . \tag{iii}$$

[iv] Note that for a solution of (6) the usual total energy $\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{2} \iint p^2 (f^+ + f^-) \, dp \, dx + \frac{1}{8\pi} \int |E|^2 \, dx$ is decreasing, $\partial_t \mathcal{E}(t) = -\varepsilon |D^{[2]}|^2$. Using the resulting a-priori bound on $\iint p^2 (f^+ + f^-) \, dp \, dx$ global existence of smooth solutions has been shown in [12].

For $\varrho \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $j \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^3;\mathbb{R}^3)$ we define

$$D^{[3]}_{\varrho j} := 2\int \varrho(x)\,[H(j)]\,(x)\,dx\,.$$

Lemma 4. *Let $\varrho \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $j \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^3;\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then*

$$|D^{[3]}_{\varrho j}| \le 2c_{\mathsf{CZ}}\,\|\varrho\|_2\,\|j\|_2 \le 2c_{\mathsf{CZ}}\big(\,\|\varrho\|_\infty\,\|\varrho\|_1\,\|j\|_\infty\,\|j\|_1\,\big)^{1/2}\,,$$

where c_{CZ} is the Calderón-Zygmund constant. Let $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ an intervall, $\varrho \in C(J;C_c(\mathbb{R}^3))$ as well as $j \in C(J;C_c(\times\mathbb{R}^3;\mathbb{R}^3))$. Then the function $J \ni t \mapsto D^{[3]}_{\varrho j}(t) := D^{[3]}_{\varrho(t)j(t)}$ is continuous. If additionally ϱ and j are continuously differentiable with respect to t, then $D^{[3]}_{\varrho j}$ is differentiable and the usual product rule holds true.

Proof. Applying Hölder's inequality, the Calderón-Zygmund inequality [20, pp.39,Thm.3], and Hölder's inequality again proves the estimate. The latter statements follow by standard theorems on Lebesgue-integrable functions. □

For an intervall $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ and a mapping $F \in C(J\times\mathbb{R}^3;\mathbb{R}^3)$, $t \in J$ and $z = (x,p) \in \mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3$ we define $\mathcal{Z}_F = (\mathcal{X}_F,\mathcal{P}_F) = (\mathcal{X}_F,\mathcal{P}_F)(s;t,x,p)$ as the unique solution of

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{ds}\mathcal{X}_F(s) &= \mathcal{P}_F(s), & \mathcal{X}_F(t;t,x,p) &= x\,,\\
\frac{d}{ds}\mathcal{P}_F(s) &= F(s,\mathcal{X}_F(s)), & \mathcal{P}_F(t;t,x,p) &= p\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we set

$$P_{\mathcal{Z}}(t) := \sup\big\{|\mathcal{P}_F(s;0,\tilde{x},\tilde{p})| \,:\, 0\le s\le t,\,|\tilde{x}|\le R_0,\,|\tilde{p}|\le R_0\big\}\,,\qquad X_{\mathcal{Z}}(t) := R_0 + \int_0^t P_{\mathcal{Z}}(s)\,ds$$

$$C_{\mathcal{Z}}(t) := \sup\{|\partial_{x,p}\mathcal{Z}_F(s;t,x,p)| \,:\, 0\le s\le t,\,x,p\in\mathbb{R}^3\}$$

For an initial datum f° according to (11) we define

$$f_F(t,x,p) := f^\circ(\mathcal{Z}_F(0;t,x,p)).$$

We collect some well known facts about the flow generated by a smooth bounded field. For a proof see e.g. [17, Lemma 1.2, Lemma 1.3].

Lemma 5. *Assume that F is additionally continuously differentiable with respect to x and bounded on $I\times\mathbb{R}^3$ for every compact interval $I \subset J$. Then*

(i) *$\mathcal{Z}_F \in C^1(J\times J\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3)$ and for all $(s,t)\in J\times J$ the map $\mathcal{Z}_F(s;t,\cdot,\cdot)$ is a measure preserving C^1-diffeomorphism with inverse $\mathcal{Z}_F(s;t,\cdot,\cdot)^{-1} = \mathcal{Z}_F(t;s,\cdot,\cdot)$.*

(ii) *$P_{\mathcal{Z}}(t) \le R_0 + \displaystyle\int_0^t \|F(s)\|_\infty\,ds$ for all $t\in J$.*

(iii) *$C_{\mathcal{Z}}(t) \le 6\exp\left(\displaystyle\int_0^t 1+\|\partial_x F(s)\|_\infty\,ds\right)$ for all $t\in J$.*

(iv) *$f_F \in C^1(J\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3)$, $f_F(t,x,p) = 0$ if $|x| > X_{\mathcal{Z}}(t)$ or $|p| > P_{\mathcal{Z}}(t)$, $\|f_F(t)\|_\infty = \|f^\circ\|_\infty$ and $\|f_F(t)\|_1 = \|f^\circ\|_1$ for all $t\in J$.*

(v) *f_F is the unique C^1-solution of the Vlasov equation*

$$\partial_t f + p\cdot\partial_x f + F\cdot\partial_p f = 0 \quad \textit{with} \quad f(0) = f^\circ\,.$$

4.2. **The iteration scheme and estimates on the iterates.** We set up a modification of the usual iteration scheme. Fix initial data $f^{\circ,\pm}$ according to (11). For $n = 0$, $s,t\in[0,\infty)$ and $x,p\in\mathbb{R}^3$ we define $\mathcal{Z}^\pm_0(s;t,x,p) = (x,p)$. If $\mathcal{Z}^\pm_n$ is defined we set

$$f^\pm_n(t,x,p) = f^{\circ,\pm}(\mathcal{Z}^\pm_n(0;t,x,p))$$

$$P_n(t) = \max\Big\{P_{\mathcal{Z}^+_n}(t), P_{\mathcal{Z}^-_n}(t)\Big\} \qquad\qquad X_n(t) = R_0 + \int_0^t P_n(s)\,ds$$

$$\varrho_n^\pm(t,x) = \int f_n^\pm(t,x,p)\,dp \qquad j_n^\pm(t,x) = \int p f_n^\pm(t,x,p)\,dp$$
$$E_n = E_{\varrho_n^+} - E_{\varrho_n^-} \qquad D_n^{[3]} = D^{[3]}_{\varrho_n^+ j_n^-} - D^{[3]}_{\varrho_n^- j_n^+}$$
$$F_n = E_n + \varepsilon D_n^{[3]} \qquad \mathcal{Z}_{n+1}^\pm = \mathcal{Z}_{\pm F_n}\,.$$

Lemma 6. *The iteration scheme is well defined and for all $t \geq 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x, p \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $\varepsilon \in [\,0\,,\,1\,]$ we have*

(i) $f_n^\pm \in C^k([0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3)\,,\quad f_n^\pm(t,x,p) = 0 \quad \textit{if} \quad |x| \geq X_n(t) \quad \textit{or} \quad |p| \geq P_n(t)\,,$
$\big\|f_n^\pm(t)\big\|_\infty = \big\|f^{\circ,\pm}\big\|_\infty\,, \qquad \big\|f_n^\pm(t)\big\|_1 = \big\|f^{\circ,\pm}\big\|_1\,,$

(ii) $\varrho_n^\pm \in C^k([0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^3)\,, \qquad \varrho_n^\pm(t,x) = 0 \quad \textit{if} \quad |x| \geq X_n(t)\,,$
$\big\|\varrho_n^\pm(t)\big\|_\infty \leq P_n^3(t)\,\big\|f^{\circ,\pm}\big\|_\infty\,, \quad \big\|\varrho_n^\pm(t)\big\|_1 = \big\|f^{\circ,\pm}\big\|_1\,,$

(iii) $j_n^\pm \in C^k([0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^3;\mathbb{R}^3)\,, \qquad j_n^\pm(t,x) = 0 \quad \textit{if} \quad |x| \geq X_n(t)\,,$
$\big\|j_n^\pm(t)\big\|_\infty \leq P_n^4(t)\,\big\|f^{\circ,\pm}\big\|_\infty\,, \quad \big\|j_n^\pm(t)\big\|_1 \leq P_n(t)\,\big\|f^{\circ,\pm}\big\|_1\,,$

(iv) $E_n \in C^k([0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^3;\mathbb{R}^3)\,, \qquad \|E_n(t)\|_\infty \leq C_1 P_n^2(t) \quad \textit{with} \quad C_1 = 3(2\pi)^{3/2}\,\big\|f^{\circ,\pm}\big\|_\infty^{2/3}\,\big\|f^{\circ,\pm}\big\|_1^{1/3}\,,$

(v) $D_n^{[3]} \in C^k([0,\infty);\mathbb{R}^3)\,, \qquad |D_n^{[3]}(t)| \leq C_2 P_n^4(t) \quad \textit{with} \quad C_2 = 2c_{\mathsf{CZ}}\,\big\|f^{\circ,\pm}\big\|_\infty\,\big\|f^{\circ,\pm}\big\|_1\,.$

Proof. Using Proposition 3, Lemma 4 and Lemma 5 this Lemma follows immediately by induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}$. □

Next we shall give an estimate of the size of the support uniformly in n. Let $P : [0,a) \to \mathbb{R}$ denote the maximal solution of the equation

$$P(t) = R_0 + C_3 \int_0^t P^2(s) + P^4(s)\,ds \quad \text{where} \quad C_3 := \max\{C_1, C_2\}\,, \tag{14}$$

and define

$$X(t) := R_0 + \int_0^t P(s)\,ds\,.$$

Lemma 7. *For all $0 \leq t < a$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon \in [0,\,1]$ we have $P_n(t) \leq P(t)$ and $X_n(t) \leq X(t)$.*

Proof. Using Lemma 5 (ii) and Lemma 6 (iv) and (v) this follows by induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}$. □

In the next steps we prove that a bound on the p-support on an interval $J \subset [0,\infty)$, $0 \in J$, implies bounds on all other quantities as well as the existence of a smooth solution of (rVPRD$_\varepsilon$) on the interval J. We assume that we are furnished with such an interval J and a continuous monotonously increasing positive function, called P again, such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon \in [0,\,1]$, $t \in J$ and $x, p \in \mathbb{R}^3$

$$P_n(t) \leq P(t)\,.$$

In fact, this is at least true for $J = [\,0\,,\,a\,)$. In addition we introduce the following helpful conventions. Henceforward, continuous monotonously increasing positive functions functions, which can be calculated by the knowledge of P and the basic constants k, S_0 and R_0 alone, are denoted by $C(\cdot)$, $C_1(\cdot), C_2(\cdot)$. Especially they are independent of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon \in [0,\,1]$. While $C_1(\cdot), C_2(\cdot)$ will be fixed functions, the definition of $C(\cdot)$ may change from line to line, e.g. we have

$$C(t) = P(t) = P(t)\exp(C(t)) + C(t).$$

Lemma 8. *For all $t \in J$ we have $\|\partial_x \varrho_n^\pm(t)\|_\infty + \|\partial_x E_n(t)\|_\infty \leq C(t)$.*

Proof. Using Lemma 7 and Lemma 5(iii) we compute

$$\begin{aligned}|\partial_x \varrho_n^\pm(t,x)| &\leq \int_{|p|\leq P_n(t)} |\partial_x \left(f^{\circ,\pm}(\mathcal{Z}_n^\pm(0;t,x,p))\right)|\,dp \\ &\leq P^3(t) C\,\big\|\partial_x \mathcal{Z}_n^\pm(0;t,\cdot,\cdot)\big\|_\infty\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C(t)\exp\left(\int_0^t 1+\|\partial_x E_{n-1}(s)\|_\infty\, ds\right)$$

Plugging this estimate together with Lemma 6(ii) in Proposition 3(iii) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_x E_n(t)\|_\infty &\leq C(t)\left(1+\ln^*\left(\exp\left(\int_0^t \|\partial_x E_{n-1}(s)\|_\infty\, ds\right)\right)\right)\\ &\leq C_1(t)\left(1+\int_0^t \|\partial_x E_{n-1}(s)\|_\infty\, ds\right),\end{aligned}$$

where we choose $C_1(\cdot)$ in such a way that additionally $C_1(0)\geq\|\partial_x E_0(0)\|_\infty=\|\partial_x E_0(t)\|_\infty$. We define $C_2(\cdot)$ as the solution of

$$C_2(t)=C_1(t)\left(1+\int_0^t C_2(\sigma)\,d\sigma\right).$$

By induction on n we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_x E_n(t)\|_\infty &\leq C_2(t) \quad\text{and}\\ \left\|\varrho_n^\pm(t)\right\|_\infty &\leq C(t)\end{aligned}$$

for all $t\in J$ and all $n\in\mathbb{N}$.

□

For $n\in\mathbb{N}$ we define

$$\alpha_n(t):=\sup\left\{|\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}^\pm(s;t,x,p)-\mathcal{Z}_n^\pm(s;t,x,p)|\,:\,0\leq s\leq t,\,x,p\in\mathbb{R}^3\right\}.$$

Lemma 9. *For all $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and all $t\in J$ we have*

(i) $$\left\|f_{n+1}^\pm(t)-f_n^\pm(t)\right\|_\infty\leq C\frac{C_3(t)^n}{n!}t^n$$

(ii) $$\alpha_n(t)\leq C(t)\frac{C_3(t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}t^n.$$

Proof. In the first step we compute

$$|f_{n+1}^\pm(t,x,p)-f_n^\pm(t,x,p)|\leq C|\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}^\pm(0;t,x,p)-\mathcal{Z}_n^\pm(0;t,x,p)|. \tag{15}$$

Using the characteristic equations we also have for all $0\leq s\leq t$ and all $x,p\in\mathbb{R}^3$

$$|\mathcal{X}_{n+1}^\pm(s;t,x,p)-\mathcal{X}_n^\pm(s;t,x,p)|\leq\int_s^t|\mathcal{P}_{n+1}^\pm(\sigma;t,x,p)-\mathcal{P}_n^\pm(\sigma;t,x,p)|\,d\sigma,$$

$$|\mathcal{P}_{n+1}^\pm(s;t,x,p)-\mathcal{P}_n^\pm(s;t,x,p)|\leq\int_s^t|E_n(\sigma;\mathcal{X}_{n+1}(\sigma))-E_{n-1}(\sigma;\mathcal{X}_n(\sigma))|+\left|D_n^{[3]}(s)-D_{n-1}^{[3]}(s)\right|d\sigma.$$

Utilizing Lemma 8 the electric fields can be estimated by

$$\begin{aligned}|E_n(\sigma;\mathcal{X}_{n+1}(\sigma))-E_{n-1}(\sigma;\mathcal{X}_n(\sigma))| &\leq\|\partial_x E_n(\sigma)\|_\infty|\mathcal{X}_{n+1}^\pm(\sigma)-\mathcal{X}_n^\pm(\sigma)|+\|E_n(\sigma)-E_{n-1}(\sigma)\|_\infty\\ &\leq C(\sigma)|\mathcal{X}_{n+1}^\pm(\sigma)-\mathcal{X}_n^\pm(\sigma)|+C(\sigma)\left\|f_n^\pm(\sigma)-f_{n-1}^\pm(\sigma)\right\|_\infty.\end{aligned}$$

Employing Lemma 4 we have for the dipols

$$\begin{aligned}|D_n^{[3]}(\sigma)-D_{n-1}^{[3]}(\sigma)|\leq C\Big(&\left\|\varrho_n^+(\sigma)-\varrho_{n-1}^+(\sigma)\right\|_2\left\|j_n^-(\sigma)\right\|_2+\left\|\varrho_{n-1}^+(\sigma)\right\|_2\left\|j_n^-(\sigma)-j_{n-1}^-(\sigma)\right\|_2\\ &+\left\|\varrho_n^-(\sigma)-\varrho_{n-1}^-(\sigma)\right\|_2\left\|j_n^+(\sigma)\right\|_2+\left\|\varrho_{n-1}^-(\sigma)\right\|_2\left\|j_n^+(\sigma)-j_{n-1}^+(\sigma)\right\|_2\Big)\\ \leq C(\sigma)&\left\|f_{n+1}^\pm(\sigma)-f_n^\pm(\sigma)\right\|_\infty.\end{aligned}$$

Collecting these two estimates we conclude

$$|\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}^\pm(s)-\mathcal{Z}_n^\pm(s)|\leq\int_s^t C(\sigma)|\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}^\pm(\sigma)-\mathcal{Z}_n^\pm(\sigma)|+C(\sigma)\left\|f_n^\pm(\sigma)-f_{n-1}^\pm(\sigma)\right\|_\infty d\sigma$$

and using Gronwall's Lemma

$$|\mathcal{Z}^{\pm}_{n+1}(s) - \mathcal{Z}^{\pm}_{n}(s)| \leq \int_s^t C(\sigma) \left\| f^{\pm}_n(\sigma) - f^{\pm}_{n-1}(\sigma) \right\|_\infty d\sigma \, \exp\left(\int_s^t C(\sigma)\, ds \right). \tag{16}$$

Combining (15) and (16) we have

$$\left\| f^{\pm}_{n+1}(t) - f^{\pm}_{n}(t) \right\|_\infty \leq C_3(t) \int_0^t \left\| f^{\pm}_n(s) - f^{\pm}_{n-1}(s) \right\|_\infty ds\,.$$

By induction it follows that

$$\left\| f^{\pm}_{n+1}(t) - f^{\pm}_{n}(t) \right\|_\infty \leq \sup\left\{ \left\| f^{\pm}_1(s) - f^{\pm}_0(t) \right\|_\infty \,:\, 0 \leq s \leq t \right\} \frac{C_3(t)^n}{n!} t^n = C \frac{C_3(t)^n}{n!} t^n\,.$$

Plugging this inequality into (16) we get

$$\alpha_n(t) \leq C(t) \frac{C_3^{n-1}(t)}{(n-1)!} t^n.$$

□

For a compact intervall $I \subset J$ we define

$$\Delta_I := \{(s,t) \,:\, t \in I, 0 \leq s \leq t\}\,.$$

Corollary 10. *For every compact interval $I \subset J$ the sequences $(f^{\pm}_n)_n$, $(\mathcal{Z}^{\pm}_n)_n$, $(\varrho^{\pm}_n)_n$, $(j^{\pm}_n)_n$, $(E_n)_n$ and $(D^{[3]}_n)_n$ are Cauchy sequences uniformly in $I \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$, resp. $\Delta_I \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times^3$, resp. $I \times \mathbb{R}^3$, resp. I and $\varepsilon \in [0,\, 1]$.*

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Lemma 9 in combination with Lemma 6. □

Lemma 11. *The sequence $(\partial_x E_n)_n$ is Cauchy sequence uniformly in $I \times \mathbb{R}^3$ and $\varepsilon \in [0,\, 1]$ for every compact interval $I \subset J$.*

Proof. Using Proposition 3(iii) for every $0 < d \leq R$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \partial_x E_n(t) - \partial_x E_m(t) \right\|_\infty \leq C \Big[& R^{-3} \left\| \varrho_n(t) - \varrho_m(t) \right\|_\infty + d \left\| \partial_x \varrho_n(t) - \partial_x \varrho_m(t) \right\|_\infty \\ & + (1 + \ln(R/d) \left\| \varrho_n(t) - \varrho_m(t) \right\|_1) \Big]\,. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing d sufficiently small proves the claim. □

4.3. **C^1-solution and estimates.** We define

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{n\to\infty} f^{\pm}_n =: f^{\pm} \in C(J \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3) && \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{Z}^{\pm}_n =: \mathcal{Z}^{\pm} \in C(\Delta_J \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3) \\ &\lim_{n\to\infty} \varrho^{\pm}_n =: \varrho^{\pm} \in C(J \times \mathbb{R}^3) && \lim_{n\to\infty} j^{\pm}_n =: j^{\pm} \in C(J \times \mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R}^3) \\ &\lim_{n\to\infty} E_n =: E \in C^1(J \times \mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R}^3) && \lim_{n\to\infty} D^{[3]}_n =: D^{[3]} \in C(J; \mathbb{R}^3)\,. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 12. *The triple $(f^{\pm}, E, D^{[3]})$ is the unique C^1-solution of (rVPRD$_\varepsilon$) and for all $t \in J$ and $l \in \{0, 1\}$ we have*

$$\text{(i)} \qquad \left\| \partial^l_{t,x,p} f^{\pm}(t) \right\|_\infty + \left\| \partial^l_{t,x} \varrho^{\pm}(t) \right\|_\infty + \left\| \partial^l_{t,x} j^{\pm}(t) \right\|_\infty + \left\| \partial^l_{t,x} E(t) \right\|_\infty + \left| \frac{d^l}{dt^l} D^{[3]}(t) \right| \leq C(t)$$

$$\text{(ii)} \qquad f^{\pm}(t,x,p) = 0 \quad \textit{if} \quad |x| \geq X(t) \quad \textit{or} \quad |p| \geq P(t)\,.$$

Proof. Clearly the densities $f^{\pm}(t)$, $\varrho^{\pm}(t)$ and $j^{\pm}(t)$ inherit the bounds on the supports $X(t)$ and $P(t)$. By standard theorems of integration we conclude $f^{\pm} = f^{\circ,\pm}(\mathcal{Z}^{\pm}(0;\cdot,\cdot,\cdot))$, $\varrho^{\pm} = \varrho_{f^{\pm}}$, $j^{\pm} = j_{f^{\pm}}$, as well as $E = E_{\varrho^+} - E_{\varrho^-}$ and $D^{[3]} = D^{[3]}_{\varrho^+ j^-} - D^{[3]}_{\varrho^- j^+}$. We set $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}^{\pm}} := \mathcal{Z}_{\pm(E + \varepsilon D^{[3]})}$ By standard theorems of ODEs we have $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}^{\pm}} \in C^1(J \times J \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3)$. Using the usual estimates for $0 \leq s \leq t$, $t \in J$, $x, p \in \mathbb{R}^3$

$$|\mathcal{Z}^{\pm}_n - \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}^{\pm}}|(s;t,x,p) \leq \int_s^t |\mathcal{P}^{\pm}_n - \widetilde{\mathcal{P}^{\pm}}|(\sigma) + |E_n(\sigma, \mathcal{X}^{\pm}_n(\sigma)) - E(\sigma, \widetilde{\mathcal{X}^{\pm}}(\sigma))| + |D^{[3]}_n - D^{[3]}|(\sigma)\, d\sigma$$

$$\leq \int_s^t (1 + \|\partial_x E_n(\sigma)\|_\infty)|\mathcal{Z}_n^\pm - \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}^\pm}|(\sigma) + \|E_n(\sigma) - E(\sigma)\|_\infty + |D_n^{[3]} - D^{[3]}|(\sigma)\, d\sigma$$
$$\leq \int_s^t C(\sigma)|\mathcal{Z}_n^\pm - \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}^\pm}|(\sigma)\, d\sigma + \varepsilon_n(t)$$

with a null sequence $\varepsilon_n(t)$. Using Gronwall's Lemma we conclude

$$|\mathcal{Z}_n^\pm - \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}^\pm}|(s;t,x,p) \leq \varepsilon_n(t)C(t)$$

and thus

$$\mathcal{Z}^\pm = \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}^\pm}|_{\Delta_J \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \in C^1(\Delta_J \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3)\,.$$

Therefore, $f^\pm$, $\varrho^\pm$, $j^\pm$ and $D^{[3]}$ are also C^1 on J. Using Lemma 5(v) $(f^\pm, E, D^{[3]})$ is a solution of (rVPRD$_\varepsilon$). Now we shall establish bounds on the derivatives independently of $\varepsilon \in [0,1]$. Employing Lemma 6 and Lemma 8 we already know that

$$\left\|f^\pm(t)\right\|_\infty + \left\|\varrho^\pm(t)\right\|_\infty + \left\|j^\pm(t)\right\|_\infty + |D^{[3]}(t)| + \|E(t)\|_\infty + \|\partial_x E(t)\|_\infty \leq C(t)\,.$$

Using Lemma 5(iii) we also have that

$$\left\|\partial_{x,p}\mathcal{Z}^\pm(s;t,\cdot,\cdot)\right\| \leq C(t)$$

for all $0 \leq s \leq t$. But this implies $\|\partial_{x,p} f^\pm(t)\|_\infty \leq C(t)$. The bound on the t-derivative is established by means of the Vlasov equation, $\|\partial_t f^\pm(t)\|_\infty \leq C(t)$. Utilizing the support properties we finally conclude

$$\|\partial_{t,x}\varrho(t)\|_\infty + \left\|\partial_{t,x} j^\pm(t)\right\|_\infty + \|\partial_{t,x}E(t)\|_\infty + |\frac{d}{dt}D^{[3]}(t)| \leq C(t)\,.$$

With regard to uniqueness we assume that we are established with a second solution $g^\pm$ according to Definition 1 and $f^\pm(0) = g^\pm(0)$. By Definition 1(iii) and Lemma 5 both solutions $f^\pm(t)$ and $g^\pm(t)$ are supported in a compact subset in $\mathbb{R}^6$ and which can be chosen independently of $t \in I$ for every compact subinterval $I \subset J$. We replace the iterates $f_n^\pm$ and $f_{n-1}^\pm$ in Lemma 9 by $f^\pm$ and $g^\pm$ and conclude

$$\left\|f^\pm(t) - g^\pm(t)\right\|_\infty \leq C_1(t) \int_0^t \left\|f^\pm(s) - g^\pm(s)\right\|_\infty ds$$

and uniqueness follows. □

Remark 13. *The usual continuation property also holds for* (rVPRD$_\varepsilon$)*: an a-priori bound on the velocity support yields global existence of solution. This can be shown in the same way as in* [17, Step 7, p. 401]*. The crucial point is that the constants C_1 and C_2 in (14) do only depend on the L^1 and the L^∞ norm and not on the size of the support of the initial data.*

4.4. C^k-solutions and C^k-estimates.

Theorem 14. *$f^\pm, \varrho^\pm, j^\pm, E$ and $D^{[3]}$ are C^k and for all integers $0 \leq j \leq k$ we have the estimate*

$$\left\|\partial_{t,x,p}^j f^\pm(t)\right\|_\infty + \left\|\partial_{t,x}^j \varrho^\pm(t)\right\|_\infty + \left\|\partial_{t,x}^l j^\pm(t)\right\|_\infty + \left\|\partial_{t,x}^l E(t)\right\|_\infty + |\frac{d^j}{dt^j}D^{[3]}(t)| \leq C(t). \tag{17}$$

We shall proof this theorem by induction on $1 \leq l \leq k$. Before starting we give a precise statement of the induction hypothesis.

Definition 15. *For $1 \leq l \leq k$ we say $\mathcal{H}(l)$ holds true, iff the following holds:*

(i) *For all $1 \leq j \leq l$ we have*
$$\left\|\partial_{x,p}^j \mathcal{Z}_n^\pm(0;t,\cdot,\cdot)\right\|_\infty + \left\|\partial_{x,p}^j \varrho_n^\pm(t)\right\|_\infty + \left\|\partial_x^j E_n(t)\right\|_\infty \leq C(t)$$
(ii) *For all $0 \leq j \leq l-1$ the sequences $(\partial_{x,p}^j f_n^\pm)_n$, $(\partial_{x,p}^j \mathcal{Z}_n^\pm)_n$ and $(\partial_x^j \varrho_n^\pm)_n$ converge to $\partial_{x,p}^j f^\pm$, $\partial_{x,p}^j \mathcal{Z}^\pm$ and $\partial_x^j \varrho^\pm$ uniformly in $\varepsilon \in [\,0\,,\,1\,]$ and uniformly on $I \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$, $\Delta_I \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ and $I \times \mathbb{R}^3$, respectively, for all compact subintervals $I \subset J$.*
(iii) *For all $0 \leq j \leq l$, $(\partial_x^j E_n)_n$ converges to $\partial_x^j E$ uniformly in $\varepsilon \in [\,0\,,\,1\,]$ and on $I \times \mathbb{R}^3$, for all compact subintervals $I \subset J$.*
(iv) *The functions $f^\pm, \varrho^\pm, j^\pm, E$ and $D^{[3]}$ are C^l and for all integers $0 \leq j \leq l$ (17) holds true.*

Proof. For $l=1$, $\mathcal{H}(1)$ is already proved by means of Theorem 12. Now we assume that $\mathcal{H}(l)$ holds true for some $1 \le l \le k-1$. We need a suitable representation of higher derivatives of composed functions. Consider a multi-index $\alpha = (\alpha_x, \alpha_p) \in \mathbb{N}_0^3 \times \mathbb{N}_0^3$, and a higher order partial derivative $\partial^\alpha = \partial_x^{\alpha_x}\, \partial_p^{\alpha_p}$. Let $G : \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$, resp. $\mathbb{R}^3$ and $H : \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ be sufficiently smooth. By induction on $1 \le |\alpha| \le k$ the following representation can be verified.

$$\partial^\alpha (G(H(x,p)) = \sum_{\substack{1 \le |\beta| \le |\alpha| \\ \beta = (\beta_x, \beta_p) \in \mathbb{N}_0^3 \times \mathbb{N}_0^3}} (\partial^\beta G)(H(x,p)) \cdot Q_{\alpha\beta}(\partial^\gamma H)(x,p) \tag{18}$$

where $Q_{\alpha,\beta}$ are certain homogeneous polynomials of degree $|\beta|$ in the variables $\partial^\gamma H$, where $\gamma = (\gamma_x, \gamma_p)$ varies in $\mathbb{N}_0^3 \times \mathbb{N}_0^3$ and $1 \le |\gamma| \le |\alpha| + 1 - |\beta|$. In addition, if $|\beta| = 1$, then $Q_{\alpha,\beta}$ is a polynomial in the variables $\partial^\gamma H$, $|\gamma| = |\alpha|$. An explicit expression of these polynomials can be found in [8].

In the first step we shall establish bounds on $\partial_{x,p}^{l+1} \mathcal{Z}_n^\pm$, $\partial_x^{l+1} \varrho_n^\pm$ and $\partial_x^{l+1} E_n$. By formula (18) and $\mathcal{H}(l)$ we estimate for $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_x^{l+1} \varrho_n^\pm(t,x)| &\le \int_{|p| \le P(t)} |\partial_x^{l+1} \left(f^{\circ,\pm}(\mathcal{Z}_n^\pm(0;t,x,p) \right) | \, dp \\ &\le C(t) + C(t) \left\| \partial_{x,p}^{l+1} \mathcal{Z}_n^\pm(0;t,\cdot,\cdot) \right\|_\infty \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Differentiating the characteristic equation we compute for $0 \le s \le t$ and $x, p \in \mathbb{R}^3$, using the short forms $(s) = (s;t,x,p)$ and $(\sigma) = (\sigma;t,x,p)$

$$|\partial_{x,p}^{l+1} \mathcal{Z}_n^\pm(s)| \le \int_s^t |\partial_{x,p}^{l+1} \mathcal{P}_n^\pm(\sigma)| + |\partial_{x,p}^{l+1} \left(E_{n-1}(\sigma, \mathcal{X}_n^\pm(\sigma)) \right)| \, d\sigma \tag{20}$$

With formula (18) and the induction hypothesis $\mathcal{H}(l)$ we estimate

$$|\partial_{x,p}^{l+1} \left(E_{n-1}(\sigma, \mathcal{X}_n^\pm(\sigma)) \right)| \le C(\sigma) + C(\sigma) \left\| \partial_x^{l+1} E_{n-1}(\sigma) \right\|_\infty + C(\sigma) |\partial_{x,p}^{l+1} \mathcal{Z}_n^\pm(\sigma)| \, .$$

Furthermore, employing Lemma 3(i) and Lemma 7 we also have

$$\left\| \partial_x^{l+1} E_{n-1}(\sigma) \right\|_\infty \le C(\sigma) \left\| \partial_x^{l+1} \varrho_{n-1}^\pm(\sigma) \right\|_\infty \, . \tag{21}$$

Inserting these two estimates into (20) we have

$$|\partial_{x,p}^{l+1} \mathcal{Z}_n^\pm(s)| \le \int_s^t C(\sigma) \left(1 + \left\| \partial_x^{l+1} \varrho_{n-1}^\pm(\sigma) \right\|_\infty + |\partial_{x,p}^{l+1} \mathcal{Z}_n^\pm(\sigma)| \right) \, d\sigma \, .$$

Thus, using Gronwall's Lemma,

$$\left\| \partial_{x,p}^{l+1} \mathcal{Z}_n^\pm(0;t,\cdot,\cdot) \right\|_\infty \le C(t) + C(t) \int_0^t \left\| \partial_x^{l+1} \varrho_{n-1}^\pm(\sigma) \right\|_\infty \, d\sigma \, . \tag{22}$$

Plugging this inequality into (19) we arrive at

$$\left\| \partial_{x,p}^{l+1} \varrho_n^\pm(t) \right\|_\infty \le C_4(t) + C_5(t) \int_0^t \left\| \partial_x^{l+1} \varrho_{n-1}^\pm(\sigma) \right\|_\infty \, d\sigma \, ,$$

where we chose $C_4(\cdot)$ in such a way that $\left\| \partial_{x,p}^{l+1} f^{\pm,\circ} \right\|_\infty \le C_4(0)$. We define $C_6(t) = C_4(t) \exp(t C_5(t))$. By induction on n

$$\left\| \partial_{x,p}^{l+1} \varrho_n^\pm(t) \right\|_\infty \le C_6(t) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \, .$$

Combining this with (21) and (22) proves $\mathcal{H}(l+1)$(i).

In the second step we proof $\mathcal{H}(l+1)$(ii). Using formula (18) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|\partial_{x,p}^l f_n^\pm(t,x,p) - \partial_{x,p}^l f^\pm(t,x,p)| = |\partial_{x,p}^l \left(f^{\circ,\pm}(\mathcal{Z}_n^\pm(0)) \right) - \partial_{x,p}^l \left(f^{\circ,\pm}(\mathcal{Z}^\pm(0)) \right)| \\ &\le \sum_{|\alpha|=l} \sum_{1 \le |\beta| \le l} |\partial^\beta f^{\circ,\pm}(\mathcal{Z}_n^\pm(0)) Q_{\alpha\beta} \left(\partial^\gamma \mathcal{Z}_n^\pm(0) \right) - \partial^\beta f^{\circ,\pm}(\mathcal{Z}^\pm(0)) Q_{\alpha\beta} \left(\partial^\gamma \mathcal{Z}^\pm(0) \right)| \\ &\le \sum_{|\alpha|=l} \sum_{1 \le |\beta| \le l} |\partial^\beta f^{\circ,\pm}(\mathcal{Z}_n^\pm(0)) - \partial^\beta f^{\circ,\pm}(\mathcal{Z}^\pm(0))| |Q_{\alpha\beta} \left(\partial^\gamma \mathcal{Z}_n^\pm(0) \right)| \\ &\qquad + |\partial^\beta f^{\circ,\pm}(\mathcal{Z}^\pm(0))| |Q_{\alpha\beta} \left(\partial^\gamma \mathcal{Z}_n^\pm(0) \right) - Q_{\alpha\beta} \left(\partial^\gamma \mathcal{Z}^\pm(0) \right)| \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \varepsilon_n(t)C(t) + C|\partial^l_{x,p}\mathcal{Z}^\pm_n(0) - \partial^l_{x,p}\mathcal{Z}^\pm(0)| \tag{23}$$

with a null sequence $\varepsilon_n(t)$ which is independent of $\varepsilon \in [\,0\,,\,1\,]$. Next we have to estimate the derivatives of the characteristics: Using the abbreviation $\zeta_{n,l}(s) := |\partial^l_{x,p}\mathcal{Z}^\pm_n(s;t,x,p) - \partial^l_{x,p}\mathcal{Z}^\pm(s;t,x,p)|$ we compute

$$\zeta_{n,l}(s) \leq \int_s^t \zeta_{n,l}(\sigma) + \left|\partial^l_{x,p}\left(E_{n-1}(\sigma,\mathcal{X}^\pm_n(\sigma))\right) - \partial^l_{x,p}\left(E(\sigma,\mathcal{X}^\pm(\sigma))\right)\right| d\sigma\,.$$

With formula (18) we have for the second sumand in the integral

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\partial^l_{x,p}\left(E_{n-1}(\sigma,\mathcal{X}^\pm_n(\sigma))\right) - \partial^l_{x,p}\left(E(\sigma,\mathcal{X}^\pm(\sigma))\right)\right| \\
&\leq \sum_{|\alpha|=l}\sum_{1\leq|\beta|\leq l} \left|\partial^\beta E_{n-1}(\mathcal{Z}^\pm_n)Q_{\alpha,\beta}(\partial^\gamma\mathcal{Z}^\pm_n) - \partial^\beta E(\mathcal{Z}^\pm)Q_{\alpha,\beta}(\partial^\gamma\mathcal{Z}^\pm)\right| \\
&\leq \sum_{|\alpha|=l}\sum_{1\leq|\beta|\leq l} \left|\partial^\beta E_{n-1}(\mathcal{Z}^\pm_n) - \partial^\beta E_{n-1}(\mathcal{Z}^\pm)\right| |Q_{\alpha,\beta}(\partial^\gamma\mathcal{Z}^\pm_n)| \\
&\qquad + \left|\partial^\beta E_{n-1}(\mathcal{Z}^\pm) - \partial^\beta E(\mathcal{Z}^\pm)\right| \left|Q_{\alpha,\beta}(\partial^\gamma\mathcal{Z}^\pm_n)\right| \\
&\qquad + \left|\partial^\beta E(\mathcal{Z}^\pm)\right| \left|Q_{\alpha,\beta}(\partial^\gamma\mathcal{Z}^\pm_n) - Q_{\alpha,\beta}(\partial^\gamma\mathcal{Z}^\pm)\right|
\end{aligned}$$

With regard to the summands in the first line we have

$$\left|\partial^\beta E_{n-1}(\mathcal{Z}^\pm_n) - \partial^\beta E_{n-1}(\mathcal{Z}^\pm)\right| |Q_{\alpha,\beta}(\partial^\gamma\mathcal{Z}^\pm_n)| \leq \left\|\partial_x^{|\beta|+1}E_{n-1}(\sigma)\right\|_\infty \left|\mathcal{Z}^\pm_n(\sigma) - \mathcal{Z}^\pm(\sigma)\right| |Q_{\alpha,\beta}(\partial^\gamma\mathcal{Z}^\pm_n)| \leq \varepsilon_n(t)C(t)\,.$$

Observe that we already have established the bound on $\left\|\partial_x^{l+1}E_n\right\|_\infty$. For the second line we have

$$\left|\partial^\beta E_{n-1}(\mathcal{Z}^\pm) - \partial^\beta E(\mathcal{Z}^\pm)\right| \left|Q_{\alpha,\beta}(\partial^\gamma\mathcal{Z}^\pm_n)\right| \leq \left\|\partial_x^{|\beta|}E_{n-1}(\sigma) - \partial_x^{|\beta|}E(\sigma)\right\|_\infty \left|Q_{\alpha,\beta}(\partial^\gamma\mathcal{Z}^\pm_n)\right| \leq \varepsilon_n(t)C(t)\,.$$

In the third line summands with $2 \leq |\beta| \leq l$ can be estimated against $\varepsilon_n(t)C(t)$, whereas summands with $|\beta| = 1$ can be estimated against $C(\sigma)\zeta_{n,l}(\sigma)$. Collecting everything we conclude

$$\zeta_{n,l}(s) \leq \varepsilon_n(t)C(t) + C(t)\int_s^t \zeta_{n,l}(\sigma)\,d\sigma$$

and using Gronwall's Lemma

$$\zeta_{n,l}(0) \leq \varepsilon_n(t)C(t).$$

Combining this inequality with (23) proves $\mathcal{H}(l+1)$(ii).

For the third step we apply Lemma 3(iii) on $\partial^l_x E_n$ and $\partial^l_x \varrho_n$ and chose d sufficiently small again. Thus, $\mathcal{H}(l+1)$(iii) is shown.

For the last step we note that by formally differentiating the characteristic equations

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial^{l+1}_{t,x,p}\mathcal{X}^\pm(s) &= \partial^{l+1}_{t,x,p}\left(x + \int_t^s \mathcal{P}^\pm(\sigma)\,d\sigma\right) \\
\partial^{l+1}_{t,x,p}\mathcal{X}^\pm(s) &= \partial^{l+1}_{t,x,p}\left(p + \int_t^s E(\sigma,\mathcal{X}^\pm(\sigma)) + D^{[3]}(\sigma)\,d\sigma\right)
\end{aligned}$$

we end with an initial value problem for an inhomogeneous linear ODE in $\partial^{l+1}_{t,x,p}\mathcal{Z}^\pm$

$$\frac{d}{ds}\partial^{l+1}_{t,x,p}\mathcal{Z}^\pm = A(s,t,x,p)\partial^{l+1}_{t,x,p}\mathcal{Z}^\pm + B(s;t,x,p) \qquad \partial^{l+1}_{t,x,p}\mathcal{Z}^\pm(t;t,x,p) = D(t,x,p)$$

where the coefficient functions are continuous and

$$|A(s,t,x,p)| + |B(s,t,x,p)| + |D(t,x,p)| \leq C(t)$$

for all $0 \leq s \leq t$ and $x, p \in \mathbb{R}^3$. For this step it is crucial that the only derivates of order $l+1$ applied on E and $D^{[3]}$ are purely spatial and thus continuous. Therefore we have $\mathcal{Z}^\pm \in C^{l+1}(J\times J\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3)$ and using a standard estimate of ODEs, see e.g. [21, 16.VI],

$$|\partial^{l+1}_{t,x,p}\mathcal{Z}^\pm(s)| \leq C(t).$$

Using this together with the support properties of $f^{\pm}$ gives $\mathcal{H}(l+1)$(iv) and Theorem 14 is completely proved. □

References

[1] J. Batt. Global symmetric solutions of the initial value problem of stellar dynamics. *J. Differental Equations*, 25:342–364, 1977.

[2] S. Bauer. Post-Newtonian dynamics at order 1.5 in the Vlasov-Maxwell system. in preparation, 2014.

[3] S. Bauer and M. Kunze. The Darwin approximation of the relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system. *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 6(2):283308, 2005.

[4] S. Bauer and M. Kunze. Radiative friction for charges interacting with the radiation field: classical many-particle systems. In *Analysis, modeling and simulation of multiscale problems*, pages 531–551. Springer, Berlin, 2006.

[5] S. Bauer, M. Kunze, G. Rein, and A. D. Rendall. Multipole radiation in a collisionless gas coupled to electromagnetism or scalar gravitation. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 266(1):267–288, 2006.

[6] J. Chen and X. Zhang. Global existence of small amplitude solutions to the Vlasov-Poisson system with radiation damping. *Internat. J. Math.*, 26(12):1550098, 19, 2015.

[7] Z. Chen and X. Zhang. Global existence to the Vlasov-Poisson system and propagation of moments without assumption of finite kinetic energy. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 343(3):851–879, 2016.

[8] L.E. Fraenkel. Formulae for high derivatives of composite functions. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* , 83:159–165, 1978.

[9] J.D. Jackson. *Classical Electrodynamics*. Wiley, New York, 3 edition, 1998.

[10] S. Kunimochi. Invariant manifolds in singular perturbation problems for ordinary differential equations. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A*, 116(1-2):45–78, 1990.

[11] M. Kunze and A.D. Rendall. Simplified models of electromagnetic and gravitational radiation damping. *Classical Quantum Gravity*, 18(17):3573–3587, 2001.

[12] M. Kunze and A.D. Rendall. The Vlasov-Poisson system with radiation damping. *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 2(5):857–886, 2001.

[13] M. Kunze and H. Spohn. Post-Coulombian dynamics at order c^{-3}. *J. Nonlinear Sci.* , 11(5):321–396, 2001.

[14] H. Lee. The classical limit of the relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system in two space dimensions. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* , 27(3):249–287, 2004.

[15] A. Lindner. C^k-Regularität der Lösungen des Vlasov-Poisson-Systems partieller Differentialgleichungen, 1991.

[16] P.-L. Lions and B. Perthame. Propagation of moments and regularity for the 3-dimensional Vlasov-Poisson system. *Invent. Math.* , 105(2):415–430, 1991.

[17] G. Rein. Collisionless kinetic equations from astrophysics—the Vlasov-Poisson system. In *Handbook of differential equations: evolutionary equations. Vol. III*, Handb. Differ. Equ., pages 383–476. Elsevier/North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2007.

[18] J. Schaeffer. The classical limit of the relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system. *Comm. Math. Phys.* , 104(3):403–421, 1986.

[19] H. Spohn. *Dynamics of Charged Particles and their Radiation Field*. Cambridge University press, Cambridge, 2004.

[20] E.M. Stein. *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*. Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 30. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1970.

[21] W. Walter. *Ordinary differential equations*, volume 182 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998. Translated from the sixth German (1996) edition by Russell Thompson, Readings in Mathematics.

Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Duisburg-Essen, Campus Essen, Germany
E-mail address, Sebastian Bauer: `sebastian.bauer.seuberlich@uni-due.de`